# Physical Symmetries, group Actions and group Presentations

Bernard Julia ENS Paris

#### Outline

- | Guessing (duality = « Cremmer-J. ») symmetries and more  $E_8$ 's
- Hermann's support and collaborations
- II E<sub>8</sub> families: Invariants versus Root spaces
- Fermionic half dimensions and twisted self-duality
- III ADE correspondence to finite polyhedral groups
- Orbifolds
- Towards an affine ADE correspondence

## Part I E<sub>8</sub> beginnings

## In memory of Eugène Cremmer 1942-2019

- E<sub>8</sub> was first discovered in high energy physics by EC+BJ as a result of the identity 128+120 = 248; see [1]: Nucl.Phys. B159 (1979) 141
- The bosonic action of pure maximal supergravity in 3d with its E<sub>8</sub> symmetry was constructed by BJ in [2]: Proceedings of the International School of Cosmology and Gravitation, Erice 1982, Eds V. De Sabbata & E. Schmutzer, World Scientific 1983 p 215-235.
- Its 4d E<sub>7</sub> ancestor action was presented with fermions in [1] and discovered (first) using the identity 70+63 = 133!

Number of scalar fields + Dim KE = Dim E (both numbers were known)

KE : R-symmetry is the maximal compact subgroup of the U duality group E S=E/KE and the fermionic middleman (middlegroup) in supergravity.

## Number of scalar fields + Dim KE = Dim E

- The present understanding of E remains empirical but now encompasses symmetries of Einstein's gravity (Ehlers since 1980 BJ [3], Geroch BJ [4]...)
- The definition of KE<sub>9</sub> and KGeroch using the Cartan involution and the signature of the generalized Killing form was proposed by BJ in [4] 1981.
- Special features of reductions to two dimensions include: the infinite dimensionality of E of KE and of the number of scalar fields plus gauge descendants needed? and the emergence of a Witt symmetry Diff(S<sup>1</sup>) BJ+H.Nicolai [6] on top of the naive duality symmetry expected from the growth of The gravity leg [3].
- In [5] 1982 BJ prompted by Feingold and Frenkel's hyperbolic construction proposed E<sub>10</sub> duality in «one dimension». See BJ Nicolai et al [7] for chaos.

#### More E<sub>8</sub> intrusions:

- Cvitanovic's 1977 trapezoid. Other stimuli: 't Hooft Parisi Zumino Scherk Gürsey Neveu Gell-Mann (Ramond Garland Frenkel) Olive
- E<sub>9</sub> in the Painlevé I Okamoto space of initial conditions 1979, Sakai 2001
- $E_8 \times E_8$  Heterotic string 1985 ( $D_{16}$  type I is anomaly free 1984)
- (ADE Itzykson et al 1987 conformal classification)
- E<sub>8</sub> in Zamolodchikov's Ising mass spectrum in magnetic field 1989
- It is important to clarify the origins of E<sub>7</sub>, E<sub>8</sub>-Ehlers, E<sub>9</sub>-Geroch, E<sub>10</sub>-FF ...
- First E<sub>8</sub>-family (Cvitanovic 1977..., Deligne-Gross 2002 )
- Second E<sub>8</sub>-family [1,3], third triangle C,J,Lu and Pope hep-th/9909099
- The R-symmetry arm (leg)
- The twisted self-duality gravitational frontier

## Some more references

- [3] Nuffield 1980
- [4] Johns Hopkins 1981
- [5] Chicago 1982 (Proceedings appeared unchanged in 1985)
- [6] +HN 1995
- [7] +HN 2001
- [8] +C,Lu and Pope 1999

I am delighted and most grateful to participate in this celebration of my resonant colleague Hermann Nicolai.

Happy birthday Hermann.

Our interactions resulted in three works still in use. So let me distinguish three periods in our overlap. Bronze age: 1995 BJ argued to a Max Planck society operative that HN's program was interesting/important after having received a von Humboldt research prize thanks to him.

Iron age: 2022 were BJ to request serious financial support he might be well advised to argue that his program is related to some line of work of HN!

#### Middle age collaborations with Hermann

1994: Galilean gravitation from null reduction (Gibbons...). 1995 [6]: Twisted self-duality and Witt symmetry in 2d dilaton sector (BJ+Bernard...).

2001 [7]: Chaos in 2d reduced gravity, Feingold-Frenkel hyperbolic symetry (BKL after E10 + Damour+Henneaux)

#### Part II The main E8 families: Invariants/Roots

#### Cvitanovic Trapezoid: mT77

								Primitive invariants
F4(-52)	C3(-21)	A2(-8)	A1(-3)					S2,S3
E6(-78)	A₅(-35)	A2 <sup>2</sup> (-16)	A2(-8)	2.U1				V²,S3
E7(-133)	D6(-66)	A₅(-35)	C3(-21)	A1 <sup>3</sup> (-9)	A1(-3)	U1		A2,S4 S2,A3
E8(-248)	E7(-133)	E6(-78)	F4(-52)	D4(-28)	G2(-14)	A2(-8)	B1(-3)	A1(-3)
					Faulkne 1971		Cvitan 1977-	

The last line on the previous transparency is the first  $E_8$  family. It follows an approach using invariant tensors. Key is also the decomposition of E8 by products of two commuting subgroups:  $E_8xe$ ,  $E_7xA_1$ ,  $E_6xA_2$ ,  $F_4xG_2$  (see Tits' magic square and A. Feingold) and  $D_4xD_4$ .

Remark: D<sub>4</sub> seems to be related to the Painlevé VI equation

Homework Explain what simply laced means for a simple complex Lie algebra without using the idea of roots (eg  $B_n/D_n$ )

#### Next 3 slides are Backup

- The list of Kervaire manifolds with invariant one is almost known. (It is a relative of the Hopf invariant one fibration list but over  $Z_2$ ) The remaining open question is to decide whether there is one such Kervaire manifold of dimension 126 or not (2016). Homework
- Can you recognize the first three Kervaire manifolds in Cvitanovic's trapezoid 45 years ago?

# Gross-Deligne Magic triangle H'=Z(H,E<sub>8</sub>)

i=8	j=0								e (i=8)	
7	H <sub>i</sub> <k<sub>j</k<sub>	Triangle	i≤j					e	A1(-3)	
6	E6(-78)		H' <sub>i</sub> ∩K <sub>j</sub>				e	U1	A2(-8)	
5	F4(-52)					е	μз	A1(-3)	G2(-14)	
4=j	D4(-28)				e	μ2 <sup>2</sup>	$U_{1}^{2}$	A <sub>1</sub> <sup>3</sup> (-9)	D4(-28)	
3-Real	G2(-14)			е	μ2 <sup>2</sup>	A1(-3)/µ2	A2(-8)	C3(-21)	F4(-52)	
2- Cplex	A2(-8)		е	μз	U <sub>1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	A2(-8)	A2 <sup>2</sup> (-16)	As(-35)	E6(-78)	
1- Quat.	A1(-3)	е	U <sub>1</sub>	A1(-3)	A <sub>1</sub> <sup>3</sup> (-9)	C3(-21)	A5(-35)	D₀(-66)	E7(-133)	
0-Oct.	e=A <sub>0</sub>	A1(-3)	A2(-8)	G2(-14)	D4(-28)	F4(-52)	E6(-78)	E7(-133)	Es(-248) -	→K>ł

# Split Magic triangle hep-th/9909099 C.J.L.P.

Pure	Gravity	line:					A <sub>0</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> or R	A <sub>1</sub> orR <sup>?</sup>	1 7/0
						?	R	A <sub>1</sub> .R	A <sub>1</sub> .R <sup>aff.</sup>	2 <mark>6</mark> /1
Trian	gle:	8-Rrk	≤11-D		?	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> .R	$A_2.A_1$	$A_2.A_1^{af}$	3 <mark>5</mark> /2
Diago	nal:	D-3= <b>Rrk</b>		A <sub>0</sub> ?	R	A <sub>1</sub> .R	A <sub>2</sub> .R	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>4</sub> aff?	4 4/3
			?	R	R <sup>2</sup>	$A_1^2.R$	$A_3.A_1$	D <sub>5</sub>	D <sub>5</sub> <sup>aff?</sup>	5 <mark>3</mark> /4
		?	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> .R	$A_1^2.R$	A <sub>2</sub> .A <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>5</sub>	E <sub>6</sub>	E <sub>6</sub> aff?	6 <mark>2</mark> /5
	A <sub>0</sub>	R	A <sub>1</sub> .R	A <sub>2</sub> .R	$A_3.A_1$	A <sub>5</sub>	D <sub>6</sub>	E <sub>7</sub>	E <sub>7</sub> aff?	7 <b>1</b> /6
A <sub>0</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> or R	A <sub>1</sub> .R	A <sub>2</sub> .A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	D <sub>5</sub>	E <sub>6</sub>	E <sub>7</sub>	E <sub>8</sub>	E <sub>8</sub> aff?	8 0/8
0=11-D 8	1 7	2 6	3 5	4 4	5 3	6 2	7 1	8 0	9	Rr <sub>3</sub> 8-Rr <sub>3</sub> D-3 /N <sub>4</sub>

#### MAGIC TRIANGLE Pure D=4 SUGRAs (Nuffield Cambridge)

Pure	Gr	avity	line:				A <sub>0</sub>	$A_1/U_1$	A <sub>1</sub> aff?	1 7/0
		Trian	gle:				$U_1/U_1$	$A_1.U_1/D_1.U_1$	$A_1 R^{aff?}$	1 6/1
		8-Rr <sub>3</sub>	≤10-D				$U_2/U_2$	A <sub>2</sub> .A <sub>1</sub> / D <sub>2</sub> .U <sub>1</sub>	$A_2A_1^{aff}$	1 5/2
		D-2≤ <b>Rr<sub>3</sub></b>					$U_3/U_3$	$A_4/D_3U_1$	$A_4^{aff?}$	1 4/3
							A <sub>3</sub> .A <sub>1</sub> / A <sub>3</sub> .U <sub>1</sub>	$D_5/D_4U_1$	D <sub>5</sub> aff?	2 3/4
							$A_5/U_5$	$E_6/D_5U_1$	$E_6^{aff?}$	2 <mark>2</mark> /5
					$D_3A_1/B_2A_1$	$A_5/C_3$	$D_6/U_6$	$E_7/D_6A_1$	E <sub>7</sub> aff?	4 <b>1</b> /6
A <sub>0</sub>	R	$A_1R/U_1$	$A_2A_1/A_1U_1$	$A_4/B_2$	$D_5/B_2B_2$	$E_6/C_4$	$E_7/A_7$	$E_8/D_8$	E <sub>8</sub> aff?	8 0/8
0=11-D (torus)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Rr <sub>3</sub> 7-N' <sub>4</sub> / N <sub>4</sub>

## The U duality arm

Instead of descending in spacetime dimension (dimensional reduction) one may increase supersymmetry. Supergravity leads to two not only to one  $E_8$  family of real Lie algebras: The split one (N=8 column ie gravity leg direction) The non-split one (D=3 line ie the R-symmetry « arm » direction).

The next slide shows the linear growth of R-symmetry Apologies: horizontal and vertical directions have been exchanged in the last triangle

#### Vogan diagrams and Susy (n) reduction: the R-symmetry leg



## Twisted Self-duality (after doubling)

- EC+BJ 1979
- H.Nicolai+BJ 1995
- DB+BJ 1997
- EC+H.Lu+C.Pope+BJ 1998-1999
- M.Henneaux+J.Levie+BJ 2012
- VF=\*T VF extends to F=\*T F
- \* is Hodge dual and T an internal duality = «twist»
- F is the p-form curvature V<sup>-1</sup>dV (Metric is still missing)

## Doubling E<sub>10</sub>, super-Borcherds and beyond

- Apparently doubling must now be modified; work is still going on.
  See papers of Jakob Palmkvist and collaborators (M.Cederwall,
  A. Kleinschmidt...). This is another promising program.
- As far as gravity doubling is required one may guess that the number of space dimensions must be doubled.
- Note that this doubling would allow to recover an integer (double) dimension in the non simply laced cases like F<sub>4</sub> mentioned in next backup slide, is this wishful thinking?
- Both are postponed to question session!

Bosonic half dimensions (The imperial sweep M. Duff et al. 2014)

- In the pure supergravity (magic) triangle the (real) U-duality Lie algebras are simply laced (hence my conversion to ADE aficionado).
- However F<sub>4</sub> does appear in three dimensions by considering 3, 5 or 9 2-generator supersymmetries instead of 4-generator ones (deWit).
- It is part of a magic pyramid (Duff et al.)
- If the symmetry between space dimensions and -1/4 fermionic dimensions is to survive one is led to half odd integer space dimensions (BJ 2014 Stony Brook talk)

#### III ADE correspondences and orbifolds

- Thurston (after Macbeath) analysed surface (and « 3-manifold ») singularities and their topology in particular those of orbifolds.
- Group theory jumps to your face: an orbifold is locally surface/ finite group but in fact group theory is not so successful even with J Conway.
- Message: please stay orbifolded

#### ADE correspond to finite polyhedral groups

- List of orbifold symbols (14 spherical pattern types)
- 532 432 332 22N MN : S<sup>2</sup> quotients
- \*532 \*432 \*332 \*22N \*MN and

**3\*2 2\*N N\*** : D<sup>2</sup> quotients (\*stands for boundary)

- Nx : P<sup>2</sup> quotients (x stands for a crosscap)
- The first line gives the finite subgroups of SO(3)
- The correspondence between the E8 Lie group and the icosahedral group I:= 532 can be seen by realizing the singularity C<sup>2</sup>/I in the Lie group itself (Brieskorn and Grothendieck)

Tpqr = S(p,q,r) -> S(p<sub>i</sub>) (S=star, T=Triangle) Triangle/Star groups and Dynkin diagrams



But  $D_n (D_n^{(1)} n > 4 \text{ not a star})$ 

## Notations $pqr or p_1p_2p_3$ ...

- $\sum_{i=1}^{K} (p_i-1)/p_i = 2$  for any number K of i's (or  $p_i$ 's) more general than
- $\sum_{i=1}^{3} 1/p_i = 1$

Two more ideas to present on the DEEE quartet:

- $D_4^{(1)}$  is as exceptional as affine  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  or  $E_8$
- The Lie algebra /finite group ADE (related to Mackay's) correspondence) uses the previous quartet



#### Presentations of polyhedral symmetry groups

- 3 types, given here for  $E_8$
- « Icosahedral » group of order 60: presentation R<sup>2</sup>=S<sup>3</sup>=T<sup>5</sup>=RST=e
- Including reflections the « Double icosahedral » group has order 120 and a presentation (RR'')<sup>2</sup>=(RR')<sup>5</sup>=(R'R'')<sup>3</sup>= e using 3 fundamental reflections, it is the Coxeter group H<sub>3</sub>.
- « Binary icosahedral » subgroup of Spin 3, not of O(3), order 120. It may be presented by R<sup>2</sup>=S<sup>3</sup>=T<sup>5</sup>=RST

It is used to produce the corresponding singularity by orbifolding C<sup>2</sup>

--The ubiquity of 
$$E_6^{(1)}$$
,  $E_7^{(1)}$ ,  $E_8^{(1)}$  and  $D_4^{(1)}$ 

See Abstract: Integrable systems (Etingof), noncommutative geometry, elliptic Painlevé (Briot Bouquet binomial equation), quivers (Painlevé: Boalch), Hall algebras (Schiffmann)

#### --Abstract orbifolds

In Platonic E<sub>8</sub> spherical crystal Icosahedral symmetry is noted \*532 (orbifold list)

#### 4 of the 17 plane crystals







#### Towards an affine ADE correspondence

- List of 17 plane orbifold symbols (2d Crystals Polya...)
- 632 442 333 2222 : are S<sup>2</sup> quotients
- \*632 \*442 \*333 \*2222 and
  - 4\*2 3\*3 2\*22 22\* : are D<sup>2</sup> quotients
- 22x : is a P<sup>2</sup> quotient (unorientable)
- o : T<sup>2</sup> (handle)
- \*\* Annulus
- \*x Mobius band
- xx Klein bottle

Tpqr = S(p,q,r) -> S(p<sub>i</sub>) (S=star, T=Triangle) Triangle/Star groups and Dynkin diagrams



#### Presentation and correspondence

- 2222 noted C<sub>2</sub> in Polya's notation has a presentation by 4 generating reflections whose total product is trivial
- \*2222 is noted D<sub>2kkkk</sub> in Polya's notation and has a Coxeter diagram that is a square namely that of A<sub>3</sub><sup>(1)</sup> ie D<sub>3</sub><sup>(1)</sup> so it seems to be an affine Weyl group!
- By the affine ADE correspondence it should be related to  $D_4^{(1)}$
- How? Some generalized singularity analysis in infinite dimension?

#### Conclusion

- Clearly a lot more work is needed and I look forward to even more fun.
- Happy century (= happy 20\*\*ies) Hermann

### Grand Unified Theories

- E6 d<sub>Adj</sub>=78 rank=6
- SO(10) d<sub>Adj</sub>=45 rank=5
- SU(5) d<sub>Adj</sub>=24 rank=4

Homework:

- SU(3)xSU(2) d<sub>Adj</sub>=11 rank=3
- SU(2)xU(1)  $d_{Adj}=4 \text{ rank}=2$
- SU(2) d<sub>Adj</sub>=3 rank=1